	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/525,707	DENNEAU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David E. England	2143
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment - After Non-Final</u> <u>Rejection</u>		
(1) <u>David E. England</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frank DeRosa, Reg. No. 43,584</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 May 2005	Time: <u>10:30</u>	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Attorney DeRosa Emailed claim amendments to be discussed.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-9,22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Diff L		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney DeRosa and Examiner discussed allowable subject matter that would place the application in condition for an allowance. After several phone calls, Attorney and Examiner had come to an agreement to claim language that would place the application in for an allowance.

DC